

After what time interval are we justified to diagnose immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated polymyalgia rheumatica?

Ciro Manzo,¹ Marco Isetta²

¹Internal and Geriatric Medicine Department, Azienda Sanitaria Locale Napoli 3 Sud, Rheumatologic Outpatient Clinic, Health District No. 59, Sant'Agello, Italy; ²Library and Knowledge Services, Central and North West London NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

Correspondence: **Ciro Manzo**, Internal and Geriatric Medicine Department, Azienda Sanitaria Locale Napoli 3 sud, Rheumatologic Outpatient Clinic, Health District No. 59, viale dei Pini 1, 80065 Sant'Agello, Italy. E-mail: manzoreumatologo@libero.it

Key words: polymyalgia rheumatica, immunotherapy, methodology, adverse drug reaction.

Dear Editor,

The number of cases of cancer patients diagnosed as having immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated polymyalgia rheumatica (ICI-PMR) is increasing. However, the lack of a validated scale or algorithm is still a relevant methodological limit in diagnosing this nosologic entity. A recent systematic literature review well illustrates this diagnostic limitation. The authors found a wide onset range – from 1 day to 213 weeks (about 4 years) – after the initiation of ICI treatment [mean time of onset \pm standard deviation (range): 15.40 \pm 10.54 weeks]. The diagnosis of ICI-PMR was never based on validated algorithms. Clinical judgment only was preferred. Several articles included in this review were published after 2021 (1). In 2021, a European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology task force suggested the use of validated scales or algorithms (such as the so-called Naranjo scale) for assessing the causal link between immune-mediated adverse events and ICI therapy (2). The Naranjo scale is based on a list of 10 weighted questions and classifies the probability that an event is related to drug therapy as an adverse drug reaction. The higher the score (the range is from -4 to +13), the higher the probability that an adverse event is related to the drug itself. According to Naranjo's algorithm, the time between drug administration and event occurrence must be plausible for the specific event (3). The pathogenesis of ICI-PMR is still a matter of more or less fascinating speculations. It could be hypothesized that in ICI-PMR, the first trigger is represented by an antigenic stimulus (potentially activated by the primary or metastatic tumor mass) recognized by the antigen-presenting macrophages. Subsequent activation of T-lymphocytes induced by ICIs could favor their infiltration in the anatomical sites where PMR starts (4). Could the variability of these steps, if confirmed, justify a temporal unpredictability of ICI-PMR? As of today, what is the "plausible time interval" that can justify the diagnosis of ICI-PMR seems entirely subjective (5). The duration of this time interval should instead be defined, as it cannot be dilated *ad libitum*. Are we willing to accept the diagnosis of ICI-PMR when PMR manifestations occur after several months or even years of ICI treatment?

2. Kostine M, Finckh A, Bingham CO, Visser K, Leipe J, SchulzeKoops H, et al. EULAR points to consider for the diagnosis and management of rheumatic immune-related adverse events due to cancer immunotherapy with checkpoint inhibitors. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021; 80: 36-48.
3. Naranjo CA, Busto U, Sellers EM, Sandor P, Ruiz I, Roberts EA, et al. A method for estimating the probability of adverse drug reaction. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1981; 30: 239-45.
4. Manzo C, Natale M, Isetta M, Castagna A. Comment on: immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated polymyalgia rheumatica versus primary polymyalgia rheumatica: comparison of disease characteristics and treatment requirement. *Rheumatology* 2025; 64: 900-1.
5. Manzo C, Isetta M. Back to the future: identification and classification of polymyalgia rheumatica and polymyalgia rheumatica-like syndromes following cancer immunotherapy with checkpoint inhibitors. *Reumatologia* 2021; 59: 62-3.

Contributions: all the authors made a substantial intellectual contribution, read and approved the final version of the manuscript, and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: not applicable.

Informed consent: not applicable.

Patient consent for publication: not applicable.

Availability of data and materials: all data underlying the findings are fully available.

Funding: none.

Received: 10 November 2024.

Accepted: 7 December 2024.

Early access: 3 March 2025.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

©Copyright: the Author(s), 2025

Licensee PAGEPress, Italy

Reumatismo 2025; 77:1823

doi:10.4081/reumatismo.2025.1823

Publisher's note: all claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Hysa E, Casabella A, Gotelli E, Campitiello R, Schenone C, Genova C, et al. Polymyalgia rheumatica and giant cell arteritis induced by immune checkpoint inhibitors: a systematic literature review highlighting differences from the idiopathic forms. *Autoimmun Rev* 2024; 23: 103589.